

- **Not Allowing JOC Programs To Focus On What They Do Best:**

Renovation, additions and other work is not permitted under this Bill only applies to “work...of a recurring nature” and “repair or maintenance”

This is a concern because JOC supports renovation, repair, rehabilitation, remodeling and construction projects as part of an ongoing program at a facility or campus. This would need to be addressed in the bill as it stands today;

- **Adding Costs, Reducing Responsiveness & Eliminating Innovation:**

The Entity must select or “approve” the Architect/Engineer. This requirement in a JOC program will add cost, time and delays. This requires assembling a Design Build team prior to bidding future project or we are required to work with a designer not chosen by the JOC program contractor.

This is a concern because JOC projects vary in size and scope of complexity, from very minor efforts to more complicated ones. This added burden will eliminate the ability for a JOC contractor to value engineer and design projects, allowing for cost or time savings to the owners;

- **Not Allowing Governing Boards To Manage Needs & Services:**

There is a hard limit of \$1M per *task order* within a JOC program that has no.

This is a concern because the variety and scope of individual JOC task orders/ projects within a JOC program can vary by size and nature of public funded organizations. It is better to allow the governing boards to establish a soft limit, i.e. requiring a board approval of any project over \$1 million vs. a hard limit.

- **Elimination Ability Of Medium & Smaller Institutions From Access To Quality JOC Providers & Programs**

This Bill would not apply any of these Contracting Methods to Co-ops.